Hybridization of WSPRlive Real-Time Beacons Dataset, Friis **Repagation Model and Numerical Solution for Localization**

Abstract

Accurate Localization in Radio Frequency (RF) environments is a critical focus across various navigation applications. To address this challenge, a variety of localization algorithms have been proposed, with particular attention given to Received Signal Strength (RSS)-based approaches. This study focuses on developing a localization algorithm leveraging the realtime WSPRlive dataset and the free space Friis propagation model. The localization process is divided into two stages. Initially, using continuous transmitting beacons data, ideal received powers are computed using the Friis propagation model, resulting in a dataset encompassing about 65.5 thousand location grids. To ensure reliability, WSPRlive, a hardware implementation of Weak Signal Propagation Reports (WSPR)-based beacons, operational 24/7, is utilized globally. This implementation, facilitated by the IntlWSPR project covering 40 spots, features beacons transmitting at 23 dBm, with maximum gains varying according to antenna types: 7 dBi for Skyloop 80-10, 5 dBi for DX Commander ABV, and 2 dBi for Q-Tek Penetrator antennas. With the ideal dataset established as a reference, location estimation becomes feasible by identifying the best beacon identities per received power for the query receiver. Using the initial stage as a reference table, RSS-based localization algorithm is developed to estimate the location along with localization error assessment. Future efforts, including Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) aim to integrate this enhanced approach into the real-time application, with a focus on estimating the WSPR channel propagation model using additional real data provided by the WSPRnet spot database. Although the WSPRnet spot database offers non-contiguous timed datasets, it serves as a cornerstone for training regression Machine Learning models, facilitating further refinement of the localization process. Introduction Leveraging of datasets has shown inevitability for real-time systems. Our goal is to achieve location estimation using the open-source transmission

architecture along with reliable numerical solution methodology with accepted localization tolerance. To achieve a reliable performance assessment, a real-time dataset is provided by the IntlWSPR project covering 40 spots of which the first beacon was setup at a satellite ground station near Milano, Italy by the team IU2PJI as shown in Fig. 1. Utilizing the power of datasets achieved in [6], our previous project successfully contributed to expanding data grids aimed at predicting the vertical total electron content (vTEC) for the ionosphere. Leveraging Ham Radio

Networks in conjunction with data broadcasted from the International Space Station (ISS), and integrating them into the Galileo-based NeQuickG mathematical model, we developed a web-based application tailored to this purpose.



ground station, Milano, Italy.

gamal.zayed@aucegypt.edu

Gamal Zayed^{1,2} ¹The American University in Cairo, ²Cairo University

To get the best use of the in-field dataset, antenna profiles have been investigated to meet the set assumptions. For instance, the Skyloop 2.0 antenna, shown in Fig. 2, has a near omni-directional Radiation Pattern (RP) when sweeping over different elevation angles as shown in Fig. 3.

Moreover, the Vertical/Inverted L antenna, whose setup shown in Fig. 4, has an almost omni-directional profile for the *Fig.2*: Chameleon azimuth plane as shown in Fig. 5.a. However, it experiences a Skyloop 2.0. Source: pileupdx relative directivity at a low elevation angle as in Fig. 5.b. Accordingly, there is some optimization in the antenna tilting to approach the ideal omni-directional pattern, a crucial point

for localization.

Fig. 5.a: Inverted L Az. RP



Fig. 4: End fed half wave antenna 40/30/20m Vertical/Inverted L Source: egloff.eu

Fig. 5.b: IL Elev RP

As reviewed in [1], many error estimations have been proposed to assess the localization performance among which is, adopted by this work, the weighted-form Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) along with the spatial RMSE.

Methodology

- The localization process leans on two stages:
- 1. Generating a reference table to be used later as performance assessment for the localization numerical solutions;
- 2. Sweeping over $\approx 65.5k$ grids with best three received powers and their respectful CallSigns.
- The first stage imports the real-time data provided by WSPRlive, as shown in Algorithm#1.
- Based on [5], the second stage develops the localization algorithm using Dog-leg algorithm with 400 max. iterations and tolerance of 10^{-6} as depicted in Algorithm#2.

Data and Analysis

Hamsci

- WSPRlive Real-Time Transmitting Beacons, provided in [2], are installed in 40 spots as shown in Fig. 6.
- Table.1 and 2 show the beacons detailed dataset. • The reference table, out of
- Algorithm#1, is shown in Table. 3.

THE UNIVERSITY O

CRANTON



Fig. 5.c: IL Typical Coverage Map Source: Broadcast Belgium/antenna.be Fig.3: Radiation Pattern for a 635-feet perimeter Skyloop antenna at different elevation angles.

Source: RadioHobbysit

Reference Table from Alg.#1

NASA

Partner



to get Table 1

Our Reference Table

for all x,y,z: P1, CallSign1; P2, CallSign2, P3, CallSign3

Display receiver's location with accuracy End of Alg.#2 *Algorithm#2*: Our proposed localization process

Fig. 6: WSPRlive beacon spots





		Table 1	ansmitting b et, [2]					
ipa	ants 🛈	Latitude	Longituda	HAGI	HAMSI	Power	MayGain	Antonna
1	IU2PJI	45.41342 °	9.49426 °	10 m	84 m	23 dBm	7 dBi	Skyloop 80-10
3 1	EXODX	42.85333 °	74.54000 °	8 m	777 m	23 dBm	1 dBi	EFHW 5 Band v

	102PJI	45.41542	9.49420	10 m	04 111	23 0611	7 ubi	Skyloop 60-10
3	EXODX	42.85333 °	74.54000 *	8 m	777 m	23 dBm	1 dBi	EFHW 5 Band v
4	PY1IFF	-22.40514 °	-41.84387 °	10 m	22 m	23 dBm	1 dBi	Foxtell FOXCAN
5	ZS1WRC	-34.42413 °	19.22485 °	12 m	24 m	23 dBm	0 dBi	Webb FST 2,5 t
6	KL2R	64.85333 °	-147.12666 °	10 m	175 m	23 dBm	1 dBi	EFHW 5 Band v
8	VU2ITI	10.06189 °	76.33236 °	15 m	19 m	23 dBm	1 dBi	EFHW 5 Band v
9	K3WRG	38.31896 °	-75.21923 °	10 m	9 m	23 dBm	2 dBi	GAP TITAN DX
10	НВ9Т	46.94642 °	7.82455 °	16 m	847 m	23 dBm	2 dBi	Q-Tek Penetrat
11	HP1GDP	8.98600 °	-79.52717 °	11 m	30 m	23 dBm	2 dBi	Comet 250B
12	LU4AA	-34.64240 °	-58.50863 °	29 m	22 m	23 dBm	2 dBi	Foxtell FOXCAN
13	CE3WSP	-33.65859 °	-70.72932 °	10 m	539 m	23 dBm	2 dBi	Comet 250B
14	VK4BA	-27.55326 °	153.12877 °	8 m	65 m	23 dBm	2 dBi	Hustler 4-BTV
16	NGUA	41.16393 °	-104.63400 °	8 m	1.81 km	23 dBm	1 dBi	EFHW 5 Band v



Special thanks to Prof. Nathaniel Frissell, Bill Liles, Gary Mikitin, Marcin Lesniowski, Matt Downs, Babu Sree Harsha, Sila Kardelen and Daniel Metcalfe.

Acknowledgements

HamSCI Workshop 2024